



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,885	09/23/2004	Tatsukazu Kimura	CU-3914 RJS	8117
26530 7590 11/05/2009 LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60604				
EXAMINER				
MAKI, STEVEN D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1791				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/05/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
		10/508,885	KIMURA ET AL.
		<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
		Steven D. Maki	1791

**All Participants:**

(1) Steven D. Maki.

(2) Eric Babych.

**Date of Interview:** 3 November 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*112 and 103*

**Claims discussed:**

*5-13 and 15*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*art of record*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Steven D. Maki/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner made courtesy call to applicant's representative and left the following message on his voice mail: Examiner informed applicant's representative that the after final amendment filed 10-9-09 has been received, the 112 first paragraph rejection of claims 5-13 and 15 stands, the 103 rejection of claims 5-13 stands but that the prior art rejection against claim 15 has been withdrawn.